```
1
       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           DISTRICT OF NEVADA
CUNG LE; NATHAN QUARRY, JON )
FITCH, on behalf of
themselves and all others
similarly situated,
         Plaintiffs,
         vs.
                              ) Case No.
                                2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL)
ZUFFA, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate
Fighting Championship and
UFC,
         Defendant.
     VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH SILVA
             Richmond, Virginia
               June 7, 2017
                8:11 a.m.
   Reported by:
   KIMBERLY L. RIBARIC, RPR, CCR
   JOB NO. 50374
```

	370		372
1	SILVA	1	SILVA
2	correct, I would not want to hurt my credibility by	2	You said 16, I asked for 18. Could you agree to 17?"
3	acting like what they have in black and white is not	3	He says that towards the bottom.
4	true.	4	A. Uh-huh.
5	Q. So if you if they brought to you like	5	Q. And then you say on December 9, 2010: "Not
6	what you have described as an outlier, where some	6	trying to be a dick but no. Everyone knows what
7	fighter may have diverted from what you thought they	7	everyone makes. Our purses are public. I have to
8	should have gotten based on their background and	8	justify everyone's pay to everyone else."
9	their skill level, you'd you'd certainly	9	Do you see that?
10	sometimes you would hear it from the fighters or	10	A. Yes.
11	their representatives about that outlier; right?	11	Q. What did you mean by that, "I have to
12	A. Yes.	12	justify everyone's pay to everyone else''?
13	Q. And if, by creating that outlier, that	13	A. I feel it was important in my job to
14	sometimes causes other fight you have to then	14	actually engage with people and not just dictate to
15	raise the compensation then of some other fighters	15	them. So if somebody was going to say, what about
16	because you made that one mistake; is that right?	16	this guy, I would have to engage that and not go, too
17	A. Well well, you'd have to one, I don't	17	bad.
18	know I'd say it was a mistake. And it's it's a	18	Q. Right.
19	matter of opinion. And that I did deals, Sean did	19	A. I'd have to go, okay, you've got a point,
20	deals, Dana did deals, Lorenzo did deals, and	20	let's try and figure this out. So, yeah, I'm just
21	everybody's judgment is different. So you have to	21	making him aware of that.
22	weigh those in. So that's all people who are getting	22	Q. Right. And so you wanted to make sure that
23	deals in the UFC.	23	you did your best to try to make sure that comparable
24	But a manager's job is to negotiate the best	24	fighters with comparable records are getting paid
25	deal that they can. And my job is to try to	25	comparable amounts; is that fair?
1 2	SILVA negotiate a deal that I thought was fair.	1 2	SILVA MR. ISAACSON: Objection. Form.
3	Q. So if if, for example, Shelby had been	3	A. Yeah, that was my goal.
4	had been systematically kind of paying fighters a bit	4	Q. And one of the reasons why you did that is
5	more than you did or Dana was systematically paying	5	because you had to justify everyone's pay to everyone
6	comparable fighters a little bit more than you did,	6	else, and if you if you were doing this poorly or
7	you then might feel pressure then to kind of pay	7	inefficiently, you'd constantly have fighters
8	fighters more because you would be hearing from reps	8	demanding more money, they'd say, hey, wait, you paid
9	about comparables from Dana or Shelby; is that right?	9	this guy this much and that guy that much, and you
10	A. I'm sure it would be brought up.	10	wouldn't be able to justify to them if if you
11	Q. So if if there are some if one of the	11	hadn't been doing this well; is that right?
12	three of you are kind of raising the level per	12	A. It would just seem unfair to give somebody
13	equivalent fighter, that's going to put pressure on	13	something that somebody else, equally deserving,
14	that third person to kind of raise as well?	14	didn't get.
15	A. I don't think it's pressure in that me and	15	Q. And you attempted, at least in your mind, to
16	Sean did the vast majority of deals, and me and Sean	16	be fair, to impose a sense of equity between the
17	were in constant communication every day and knew	17	different fighters; correct?
18	what each other were doing. So it's not like I was	18	A. I did.
19	going, Sean, what are you doing here? We knew. But	19	Q. All right. You can put that document aside.
20	we would still, on our own, choose to, let's move	20	MR. CRAMER: Like to mark the next
21	people up, we feel like it's time to do it.	21	document as Silva Exhibit 38.
22	So Dana and Lorenzo did do deals, but they	22	(Silva Deposition Exhibit 38 marked for
23	did, like I said, maybe 10, 15 percent of deals.	23	identification.)
24	Q. Yeah, if you turn to the first page of this	24	Q. Silva 38 is a series of e-mails bearing the
25	document, Bean says: "Can you meet me in the middle?	25	Bates range ZFL-2641095 through 1099. The one at the

	434		436
1	SILVA	1	SILVA
2	Q. Okay. Certain fighters received a share of	2	Q. And was that that's just for her or that
3	Pay-Per-View revenues when they defend when	3	was that was not a general policy?
4	they I'm sorry.	4	A. I think most of them were that way, is that
5	Certain fighters received a share of	5	you needed to be a a champion defending your belt
6	Pay-Per-View revenues; correct?	6	to get it. Not there were some exceptions, but
7	A. Correct.	7	for the majority.
8	Q. Who was offered at Zuffa a share of	8	Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
9	Pay-Per-View revenues?	9	Is it fair to say that fighters frequently
10	A. That would be up to Dana and Lorenzo who	10	
	-		asked for Pay-Per-View cuts in compensation
11 12	they're willing to give a share of Pay-Per-View	11	negotiations?
	revenues to.	12	A. Some do. There's actually quite a few
13	Q. Is it fair to say that only champions	13	fighters who did not care about that because they
14	defending their title were given a share of	14	felt that they were not marketable enough that it was
15	Pay-Per-View revenues at the UFC?	15	not enticing to them because they weren't really
16	A. No.	16	convinced they were going to sell a bunch of
17	Q. There were others?	17	Pay-Per-Views.
18	A. There were others. I think if you would	18	If you were somebody who who had a
19	become a a big attraction even without a title,	19	demonstrable popularity, then you feel, it's like
20	you could still be worthy of Pay-Per-View, but it was	20	this is going to be a windfall for me, I will sell a
21	rarer.	21	bunch of Pay-Per-Views. Not everybody felt they
22	Q. It was rare.	22	would. Some kind of saw themselves as more
23	Most of the times that fighters were offered	23	workmanlike, it's like, look, I put in my time and I
24	a share of the Pay-Per-View revenues, they were	24	work hard and this and that, I'm not a fan favorite,
25	defending a title; correct?	25	who cares.
	435		437
1	SILVA	1	SILVA
2	A. I'd say most, yes.	2	Q. Okay. So I think I asked you briefly about
3	MR. CRAMER: I'd like to mark as Silva	3	this, but Zuffa also paid other kinds of
4	Exhibit 48 the next document.	4	discretionary bonuses?
5	(Silva Deposition Exhibit 48 marked for	5	A. Correct.
6	identification.)	6	Q. In addition to win and show; correct?
7	Q. This is an e-mail from Shelby to White and	7	A. Correct.
8	Mr. Silva I'm sorry. It's just an e-mail from	8	Q. Okay. And by "discretionary," the these
9	Fertitta, Lorenzo Fertitta, to Shelby, cc'd to White	9	other bonuses that I'm going to ask you about, other
10	and Silva	10	than win and show, and potentially Pay-Per-View, they
11	A. Uh-huh.	11	were not contractually required to pay structured or
12	Q dated Thursday, March 13, 2014. The	12	discretionary bonuses; correct?
13	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	A. Correct.
14	subject is Holly Holm, and it bears the Bates number ZFL-1005485.	14	Q. All right. How were the how were these
			-
15	At the top Mr. Fertitta says: "We can get	15	structured or discretionary bonuses determined?
16	something done. For ppv bonus she must be	16	A. And you're not talking about like the
17	defending."	17	end-of-the-night bonuses, you're talking about just
18	PPV bonus refers to Pay-Per-View bonus?	18	like up and down because we had for every show
19	A. Yes.	19	you had, like, say \$50,000 bonus for performances of
20	Q. And was Mr. Fertitta correct that in order	20	the night, for fight of the night, that both would
21	for Holly Holm to get a Pay-Per-View bonus, she had	21	get it, so that was standard on every show.
22	to be defending a title?	22	Q. So every
23	A. He was saying that he was not willing to	23	A. So that was one kind of bonus.
24	give her Pay-Per-View if she's not a champion	24	Q. So one kind of bonus is a fight-of-the-night
25	defending her title.	25	bonus?